UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,923	05/19/2006	Dominique Marechal	065691-0438	5433
22428 7590 08/07/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			EXAMINER	
			TATE, CHRISTOPHER ROBIN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/574,923	MARECHAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R. Tate	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma	av 2008					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,31 and 33-60</u> is/are pending in the application.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>54-60</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30, 31, and 33-53</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
		on No				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/574,923 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 14 May 2008 is acknowledged and has been entered. Claims 30, 31, and 33-53 have been examined on the merits (claims 54-60 remain withdrawn for the reasons of record). The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 30, 31, and 33-53 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Debregeas et al. (US 2004/0081691) in view of O'Hara et al. (Arch. Family Med., 1998) for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicant argues that Debregeas et al. does not teach an intermediate water-repellent layer between the active *Ginkgo biloba* layer and the polymeric layer, and that O'Hara et al. do not cure this deficiency of the Debregeas et al. teachings. However, Debregeas et al. disclose that in the case of a fluid extract, the active plant extract layer (e.g., a fluid *Ginkgo biloba* extract) may be coated with a layer obtained by spraying a solution of a binder such as PVP (see, e.g., paragraphs [0024] and [0041] - which would occur prior to adding the outer layer thereto and, thus, the binder layer (e.g., comprising water-repellent PVP) reasonably reads upon a water-repellent intermediate layer. In addition, Debregeas et al. teach that the granules of the invention containing a layer of plant substance mounted on a neutral core may be coated with an outer layer so as to modify their properties, whereby the outer layer comprises, for example, an enteric polymer, a polymer intended to prolong the release of the plant substance, or a polymer intended to mask the taste or odor of the plant substance {see, e.g. paragraph [0012]). As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the pharmaceutical art to prepare such granules

Application/Control Number: 10/574,923 Page 3

Art Unit: 1655

using two or more of these coatings (e.g., in sequential order) as each are commonly employed in the pharmaceutical art for their known individual modifying benefits including with respect to providing stability, long-term sustained effectiveness, and/or taste or odor-masking qualities thereto. Debregeas et al. also teach that the granules according to their invention are prepared according to coating techniques known in the art {see, e.g. paragraph [0024]). Such double coatings including with respect to sustained release granules having an intermediate water-repellant layer (such as those instantly claimed) as well as an outer sustained release polymeric layer (such as those instantly claimed) are notoriously well known and practiced in the pharmaceutical art. Accordingly, the adjustment of these and other types of particular conventional working conditions (e.g., determining result-effective amounts thereof in preparing such granules) is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Applicant further argue that it would be expected that such an intermediate water-repellent layer between the active *Ginkgo biloba* layer and the outer coating would interfere with the release of flavone glycosides and, that, unexpectedly, the experimental results in Example 1 of the instant specification show that the sustained release of the flavone glycosides was not affected by this additional intermediate water-repellent layer. However, such an intermediate water-repellent layer would not be expected by one of skill in the pharmaceutical arts to cause any noticeable interference in the *in vivo* release of active ingredients within such granules, including due to the normal digestive process of animals such as humans (e.g., gastric juices, digestive enzymes, etc. within the gastrointestinal tract) which would effectively break down the intermediate layer and, thus, easily release the active ingredients therefrom.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The examiner assigned to this Application has changed. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Tate whose telephone number is (571) 272-0970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,923 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher R. Tate/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655